Planning the Investigation

Meric Bloch

Deciding on a Course of Action

• Step 1: Understanding the Report – Done
• Step 2: Preliminary Analysis of the Report
• Step 3: Tasking the Report
  • Referring the report to another department
  • Assisting the reporter directly with the concern
  • Dismissing the report
  • Investigating the report
Benefits of Proper Analysis and Tasking

- Keeps the report in the most appropriate resolution channel
- Creates realistic expectations for everyone involved
- Investigates only those reports that warrant an investigation
- Protects the credibility of your ethics and compliance program
- Determines the specific remedy for the report
- Avoids escalation of the report or referral to external agency
- Remember: “justice” and a “formal investigation” are not appropriate remedies. The former is a value, and the latter is a process.

Preliminary Analysis – What Are the Issues?

- You determine the issues / allegations, not the reporter.
- Look for larger issues that will drive your resolution strategy
  - Possible legal violations
  - High-level people involved
  - Whistleblower retaliation
  - Material impact on business or special sensitivity
- Look for implied allegations
  - Helps answer the intent of the report
  - If the reporter does not articulate it, you still need to account for it
Preliminary Analysis – What Is the Standard?

- Look for systemic problems
  - Issues affecting the organization, a division or a department (rather than just those people affected by the specific report)
  - Possible root causes can steer you to the places to look

- What was the role of management in the substance of the report?
  - Identify the company policies regarding the issue you are dealing with.

Tasking – Conduct an Investigation?

- The preliminary analysis results in selecting a course of action.

- Is the matter appropriate for another department?
  - Assisting the reporter with the concern
  - Referring the report to another department
  - Dismissing the report
  - Investigating the report

- Is the matter appropriate for an investigation?
  - No, if there is a misunderstanding of company policy
  - No, if the report is a request for assistance
  - No, if the report concerns a management business decision with no allegation of misconduct
  - No, if it is a lack of communication between an employee and others
  - No, if the facts aren't in dispute / the report gives sufficient facts
  - No, if someone feels “wronged” by the “system”
  - No, if the issue can be resolved informally
Preliminary Analysis – What Is the Standard?

- Essential elements of an allegation
  - **Who**: the subject must always be identified in the allegation
  - **Did what**: the subject improperly did something
  - **In violation of what**: what law, procedure, rule or policy was violated
  - **When and where**: time(s) and places

- You need separate allegations for each subject and allegation.
- Use neutral, non-emotional wording.
- Draft the allegation so that substantiation = impropriety.

A Quick Fact Pattern

- Larry Green works for your company as the Vice President of Procurement. You receive a hotline call from Steve, an employee in the department. Steve tells you that a large vendor for your company has just engaged Larry as a consultant so they can better serve your company’s needs. Steve thinks this is unethical.

- Your company has this Conflict of Interest Policy:

  All company employees are prohibited from engaging in any business transaction on behalf of the company with any entity in which the employee or an immediate family member has a personal interest, unless the employee first obtains the approval of the CEO.
Framing Your Investigation Allegation

Don’t Say This

• Did Larry Green violate the company’s conflict of interest policy when became a consultant to a company vendor?

Say This

The investigation will seek to determine if:

• Larry Green is a company employee;
• Larry engaged in a business transaction on the company’s behalf;
• The business transaction was with another entity;
• Larry or an immediate family member has a personal interest in that entity; and
• Larry failed to obtain the approval of the CEO before engaging in the transaction.

Planning the Investigation

• Your plan is the key to a proper investigation
• Your plan is your roadmap of how you will conduct the investigation
• Your plan ensures you will cover all necessary points
• Your plan is tailored to the particulars of the case and the shareholder concerns
• Your plan can be formal or informal
Planning the Investigation

• Who do you interview?
  • How many people do you interview?
  • What interview order do you follow?
  • Where should you conduct the interview?
  • What topics should you cover with that witness?
  • What assistance from colleagues or outside resources do you need?
  • What specific evidence do you need?

Closing Thoughts

• A poor analysis of the report results in overwork and hurts your credibility.
• A good analysis of the report leads to a timely, focused response that enhances your credibility.
• Analyzing a report is an art. Practice the skill to develop it.
• The end product of a good analysis is a clearly articulated investigation/ resolution strategy.
Tasking – Conduct an Investigation?

• Do you have a reasonable basis to warrant an investigation?

• Who should conduct the investigation?
  • Supervisors / managers / administrators
  • Human Resources
  • Internal Audit
  • Compliance
  • Legal counsel (inside or outside)

• Make sure you understand the company policies regarding the investigation process. Remember to have an infrastructure.

• Assume the adequacy and objectivity of your investigation may be challenged.

• Who should receive initial notification that an investigation will be conducted?